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## Methods Learnt

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline & \text { Vector Data } & \text { Text Data } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Recommender } \\
\text { System }\end{array} & \text { Graph \& Network } \\
\hline \text { Classification } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Decision Tree; Naïve } \\
\text { Bayes; Logistic } \\
\text { Regression } \\
\text { SVM; NN }\end{array} & & & \text { Label Propagation } \\
\hline \text { Clustering } & \begin{array}{l}\text { K-means; hierarchical } \\
\text { clustering; DBSCAN; } \\
\text { Mixture Models; } \\
\text { kernel k-means }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { PLSA; } \\
\text { LDA }\end{array} & & \text { Matrix Factorization }\end{array}
$$ \begin{array}{l}SCAN; Spectral <br>

Clustering\end{array}\right]\)| Prediction |
| :--- |
| Linear Regression |
| GLM |

## Methods to Learn

|  | Vector Data | Text Data | Recommender System | Graph \& Network |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Classification | Decision Tree; Naïve <br> Bayes; Logistic <br> Regression <br> SVM; NN |  |  | Label Propagation |
| Clustering | K-means; hierarchical clustering; DBSCAN; Mixture Models; kernel k-means | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PLSA; } \\ & \text { LDA } \end{aligned}$ | Matrix Factorization | SCAN; Spectral Clustering |
| Prediction | Linear Regression GLM |  | Collaborative Filtering |  |
| Ranking |  |  |  | PageRank |
| Feature <br> Representation |  | Word embedding |  | Network embedding |

## Mining Graph/Network Data

- Introduction to Graph/Network Data
- PageRank
- Classification via Label Propagation
- Spectral Clustering
- Summary


## Graph, Graph, Everywhere



Aspirin


I nternet


Yeast protein interaction network


## Why Graph Mining?

- Graphs are ubiquitous
- Chemical compounds (Cheminformatics)
- Protein structures, biological pathways/networks (Bioinformactics)
- Program control flow, traffic flow, and workflow analysis
- XML databases, Web, and social network analysis
- Graph is a general model
- Trees, lattices, sequences, and items are degenerated graphs
- Diversity of graphs
- Directed vs. undirected, labeled vs. unlabeled (edges \& vertices), weighted, with angles \& geometry (topological vs. 2-D/3-D)
- Complexity of algorithms: many problems are of high complexity


## Representation of a Graph

- $G=<V, E>$
- $V=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\}$ : node set
- $E \subseteq V \times V$ : edge set
- Adjacency matrix
- $A=\left\{a_{i j}\right\}, i, j=1, \ldots, N$
- $a_{i j}=1, i f<u_{i}, u_{j}>E E$
- $a_{i j}=0, i f<u_{i}, u_{j}>\notin E$
- Undirected graph vs. Directed graph
- $A=A^{\mathrm{T}}$ vs. $A \neq A^{\mathrm{T}}$
- Weighted graph
- Use $W$ instead of $A$, where $w_{i j}$ represents the weight of edge $<u_{i}, u_{j}>$


## Example




Adjacency matrix A

## Mining Graph/Network Data

- Introduction to Graph/Network Data
- PageRank $\downarrow$
- Classification via Label Propagation
- Spectral Clustering
- Summary


## The History of PageRank

- PageRank was developed by Larry Page (hence the name Page-Rank) and Sergey Brin.
- It is first as part of a research project about a new kind of search engine. That project started in 1995 and led to a functional prototype in 1998.
- Shortly after, Page and Brin founded Google.


## Ranking web pages

-Web pages are not equally "important"

- www.cnn.com vs. a personal webpage
- Inlinks as votes
- The more inlinks, the more important - Are all inlinks equal?
- Higher ranked inlink should play a more important role
- Recursive question!


## Simple recursive formulation

- Each link's vote is proportional to the importance of its source page
- If page $P$ with importance $x$ has $n$ outlinks, each link gets $x / n$ votes
- Page P's own importance is the sum of the votes on its inlinks



## Matrix formulation

- Matrix $\mathbf{M}$ has one row and one column for each web page
$y$ a m
- Suppose page j has n outlinks
- If $j->i$, then $M_{i j}=1 / n$
- Else $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ij}}=0$

$$
\begin{array}{ll|l|l}
\mathrm{y} & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
\mathrm{a} & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{~m} & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{|l}
1 / 2,0,1 \\
\end{array}
$$

- M is a column stochastic matrix
- Columns sum to 1
- Suppose $\mathbf{r}$ is a vector with one entry per web page
- $r_{i}$ is the importance score of page $i$
- Call it the rank vector
- $|\mathbf{r}|=1$ (i.e., $r_{1}+r_{2}+\cdots+r_{N}=1$ )


## Eigenvector formulation

-The flow equations can be written

$$
r=M r
$$

- So the rank vector is an eigenvector of the stochastic web matrix
- In fact, its first or principal eigenvector, with corresponding eigenvalue 1


## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=y / 2+a / 2 \\
& a=y / 2+m \\
& m=a / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

| $\begin{array}{c\|ccc}  & \mathrm{y} & \mathrm{a} & \mathrm{~m} \\ \mathrm{y} & 1 / 2 & 1 / 2 & 0 \\ \mathrm{a} & 1 / 2 & 0 & 1 \\ \mathrm{~m} & 0 & 1 / 2 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Power Iteration method

-Simple iterative scheme
-Suppose there are N web pages

- Initialize: $\mathbf{r}^{0}=[1 / \mathbf{N}, \ldots ., 1 / \mathbf{N}]^{\mathrm{T}}$
- Iterate: $\mathbf{r}^{\mathrm{k}+1}=\mathbf{M r} \mathbf{r}^{\mathrm{k}}$
- Stop when $\left|\mathbf{r}^{\mathrm{k}+1}-\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{k}}\right|_{1}<\varepsilon$
- $|\mathbf{x}|_{1}=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq N}\left|x_{i}\right|$ is the $L_{1}$ norm
- Can use any other vector norm e.g., Euclidean


## Power Iteration Example



| y |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{a}=$ | $1 / 3$ | $1 / 3$ | $5 / 12$ | $3 / 8$ |  | $2 / 5$ |
| m | $1 / 3$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 3$ | $11 / 24$ | $\ldots$ | $2 / 5$ |
| $1 / 3$ | $1 / 6$ | $1 / 4$ | $1 / 6$ |  | $1 / 5$ |  |
| $r_{0}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{3}$ | $\ldots$ | $r^{*}$ |  |

## Random Walk Interpretation

- Imagine a random web surfer
- At any time t , surfer is on some page P
- At time $\mathrm{t}+1$, the surfer follows an outlink from $P$ uniformly at random
- Ends up on some page Q linked from $P$
- Process repeats indefinitely
- Let $p(t)$ be a vector whose $i^{\text {th }}$ component is the probability that the surfer is at page $i$ at time $t$
$\cdot \mathbf{p}(\mathrm{t})$ is a probability distribution on pages


## The stationary distribution

-Where is the surfer at time $t+1$ ?

- Follows a link uniformly at random
- $\mathbf{p}(\mathrm{t}+1)=\mathbf{M p}(\mathrm{t})$
- Suppose the random walk reaches a state such that $\mathbf{p}(\mathrm{t}+1)=\mathbf{M p}(\mathrm{t})=\mathbf{p}(\mathrm{t})$
- Then $\mathbf{p}(t)$ is called a stationary distribution for the random walk
- Our rank vector $\mathbf{r}$ satisfies $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{M r}$
- So it is a stationary distribution for the random surfer


## Existence and Uniqueness

A central result from the theory of random walks (aka Markov processes):

For graphs that satisfy certain conditions, the stationary distribution is unique and eventually will be reached no matter what the initial probability distribution at time $t$
$=0$.

## Spider traps

- A group of pages is a spider trap if there are no links from within the group to outside the group
- Random surfer gets trapped
- Spider traps violate the conditions needed for the random walk theorem


## Microsoft becomes a spider trap



## Random teleports

- The Google solution for spider traps
- At each time step, the random surfer has two options:
- With probability $\beta$, follow a link at random
- With probability $1-\beta$, jump to some page uniformly at random
- Common values for $\beta$ are in the range 0.8 to 0.9
- Surfer will teleport out of spider trap within a few time steps


## Random teleports $(\beta=0.8)$


-----> : teleport links from "Yahoo"

|  | $7 / 15$ | $7 / 15$ | $1 / 15$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a | $7 / 15$ | $1 / 15$ | $1 / 15$ |
| m | $1 / 15$ | $7 / 15$ | $13 / 15$ |
|  |  |  |  |

## Random teleports ( $\beta=0.8$ )



## Matrix formulation

- Suppose there are N pages
- Consider a page j , with set of outlinks $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{j})$
- We have $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ij}}=1 /|\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{j})|$ when $\mathrm{j}->\mathrm{i}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ij}}=0$ otherwise
- The random teleport is equivalent to
- adding a teleport link from $j$ to every other page with probability (1- $\beta$ )/N
- reducing the probability of following each outlink from $1 /|O(\mathrm{j})|$ to $\beta /|\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{j})|$
- Equivalent: tax each page a fraction (1- $\beta$ ) of its score and redistribute evenly


## PageRank

- Construct the N -by- N matrix A as follows
- $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\beta \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ij}}+(1-\beta) / \mathrm{N}$
- Verify that $\mathbf{A}$ is a stochastic matrix
-The page rank vector $\mathbf{r}$ is the principal eigenvector of this matrix - satisfying r = Ar
- Equivalently, $\mathbf{r}$ is the stationary distribution of the random walk with teleports


## Dead ends

- Pages with no outlinks are "dead ends" for the random surfer
- Nowhere to go on next step


## Microsoft becomes a dead end



$$
\left.0.8 \begin{array}{|ccc|}
\hline 1 / 2 & 1 / 2 & 0 \\
1 / 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 / 2 & 0
\end{array} \quad+0.2 \right\rvert\, \begin{array}{lll}
1 / 3 & 1 / 3 & 1 / 3 \\
1 / 3 & 1 / 3 & 1 / 3 \\
1 / 3 & 1 / 3 & 1 / 3
\end{array}
$$

|  | y | $7 / 15$ | $7 / 15$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | $1 / 15$ |  |  |
| m | $7 / 15$ | $1 / 15$ | $1 / 15$ |
|  | $1 / 15$ | $7 / 15$ | $1 / 15$ |
|  |  |  | $\rrbracket$ |
|  |  | 0 | Non- |
|  | $\cdots$ | 0 |  |
|  |  | 0 |  |

## Dealing with dead-ends

## - Teleport

- Follow random teleport links with probability 1.0 from dead-ends
- Adjust matrix accordingly
- Prune and propagate
- Preprocess the graph to eliminate dead-ends
- Might require multiple passes
- Compute page rank on reduced graph
- Approximate values for deadends by propagating values from reduced graph


## Dealing dead end: teleport



## Dealing dead end: reduce graph



## Computing PageRank

- Key step is matrix-vector multiplication
- $\mathbf{r}^{\text {new }}=A r^{\text {old }}$
- Easy if we have enough main memory to hold A, rold $\mathbf{r}^{\text {new }}$
- Say N = 1 billion pages
- We need 4 bytes for each entry (say)
- 2 billion entries for vectors, approx 8GB
- Matrix A has $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ entries
- $10^{18}$ is a large number!


## Rearranging the equation

$r=A r$, where
$A_{i j}=\beta M_{i j}+(1-\beta) / N$
$r_{i}=\sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} A_{i j} r_{j}$
$r_{i}=\sum_{1 \leq j \leq N}\left[\beta M_{i j}+(1-\beta) / N\right] r_{j}$
$=\beta \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} M_{i j} r_{j}+(1-\beta) / N \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} r_{j}$
$=\beta \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} M_{i j} r_{j}+(1-\beta) / N$, since $|r|=1$
$\mathbf{r}=\beta \mathbf{M r}+[(1-\beta) / N]_{N}$
where $[\mathrm{x}]_{\mathrm{N}}$ is an N -vector with all entries x

## Sparse matrix formulation

- We can rearrange the page rank equation:
- $\mathbf{r}=\beta \mathbf{M r}+[(1-\beta) / \mathbf{N}]_{N}$
- $[(1-\beta) / \mathrm{N}]_{\mathrm{N}}$ is an N -vector with all entries $(1-\beta) / \mathrm{N}$
- $\mathbf{M}$ is a sparse matrix!
- 10 links per node, approx 10 N entries
- So in each iteration, we need to:
- Compute $\mathbf{r}^{\mathrm{new}}=\beta \mathbf{M r}^{\text {old }}$
- Add a constant value ( $1-\beta$ )/N to each entry in $\mathbf{r}^{\text {new }}$


## Sparse matrix encoding

- Encode sparse matrix using only nonzero entries
- Space proportional roughly to number of links
- say 10 N , or $4 * 10^{*} 1$ billion $=40 \mathrm{~GB}$
- still won’t fit in memory, but will fit on disk

| source <br> node | degree | destination nodes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 3 | $1,5,7$ |
| 1 | 5 | $17,64,113,117,245$ |
| 2 | 2 | 13,23 |

## Basic Algorithm

- Assume we have enough RAM to fit $\mathbf{r}^{\text {new }}$, plus some working memory
- Store $\mathbf{r}^{\text {old }}$ and matrix $\mathbf{M}$ on disk


## Basic Algorithm:

- $\quad$ Initialize: $r^{\text {old }}=[1 / \mathrm{N}]_{\mathrm{N}}$
- Iterate:
- Update: Perform a sequential scan of $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{r}^{\text {old }}$ to update $\mathbf{r}^{\text {new }}$
- Write out $\mathbf{r}^{\text {new }}$ to disk as $\mathbf{r}^{\text {old }}$ for next iteration
- Every few iterations, compute $\left|r^{\text {new }}-r^{\text {rold }}\right|$ and stop if it is below threshold
- Need to read in both vectors into memory


## Mining Graph/Network Data

- Introduction to Graph/Network Data
- PageRank
- Classification via Label Propagation
- Spectral Clustering
- Summary


## Label Propagation in the Network

- Given a network, some nodes are given labels, can we classify the unlabeled nodes by using link information?
-E.g., Node 12 belongs to Class 1 and Node 5 Belongs to Class 2



## Reference

- Learning from Labeled and Unlabeled Data with Label Propagation
- By Xiaojin Zhu and Zoubin Ghahramani
- http://www.cs.cmu.edu/ ${ }^{\sim}$ zhuxj/pub/CMU-CALD-02-107.pdf


## Problem Formalization

## - Given n nodes

- I with labels (e.g., $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{l}$ are known)
- u without labels (e.g., $Y_{l+1}, Y_{l+2}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ are unknown)
- $Y$ is the $n \times C$ label matrix
- $C$ is the number of labels (classes)
- The adjacency matrix is W
- The probabilistic transition matrix $T$
- $T_{i j}=P(j \rightarrow i)=\frac{w_{i j}}{\Sigma_{k} w_{k j}}$


## The Label Propagation Algorithm

- Step 1: Propagate $Y \leftarrow T Y$
- $Y_{i}=\sum_{j} T_{i j} Y_{j}=\sum_{j} P(j \rightarrow i) Y_{j}$
- Step 2: Row-normalize $Y$
- The summation of the probability of each object belonging to each class is 1
- Step 3: Reset the labels for the labeled nodes. Repeat 1-3 until Y converges


## Example: Iter = 0



## Example: Iter = 1



## Example: Iter = 2



After normalization, $Y_{6}=\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$
-Repeat until converge...

## Mining Graph/Network Data

- Introduction to Graph/Network Data
- PageRank
- Classification via Label Propagation
- Spectral Clustering $\downarrow$
- Summary


## Clustering Graphs and Network Data

## - Applications

- Bi-partite graphs, e.g., customers and products, authors and conferences
- Web search engines, e.g., click through graphs and Web graphs
- Social networks, friendship/coauthor graphs


Clustering books about politics [Newman, 2006]

## Spectral Clustering

## - Reference: ICDM’09 Tutorial by Chris Ding

## - Example:

- Clustering supreme court justices according to

Number of times (\%) two Justices voted in agreement

|  | Ste | Bre | Gin | Sou | O' $^{\prime}$ o | Ken | Reh | Sca | Tho |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stevens | - | 62 | 66 | 63 | 33 | 36 | 25 | 14 | 15 |
| Breyer | 62 | - | 72 | 71 | 55 | 47 | 43 | 25 | 24 |
| Ginsberg | 66 | 72 | - | 78 | 47 | 49 | 43 | 28 | 26 |
| Souter | 63 | 71 | 78 | - | 55 | 50 | 44 | 31 | 29 |
| O'Connor | 33 | 55 | 47 | 55 | - | 67 | 71 | 54 | 54 |
| Kennedy | 36 | 47 | 49 | 50 | 67 | - | 77 | 58 | 59 |
| Rehnquist | 25 | 43 | 43 | 44 | 71 | 77 | - | 66 | 68 |
| Scalia | 14 | 25 | 28 | 31 | 54 | 58 | 66 | - | 79 |
| Thomas | 15 | 24 | 26 | 29 | 54 | 59 | 68 | 79 | - |

Table 1: From the voting record of Justices 1995 Term - 2004 Term, the number of times two justices voted in agreement (in percentage). (Data source: from July 2, 2005 New York Times. Originally from Legal Affairs; Harvard Law Review)

## Example: Continue



- Three groups in the Supreme Court:
- Left leaning group, center-right group, right leaning group.


## Spectral Graph Partition

- Min-Cut
- Minimize the \# of cut of edges



## Objective Function

## 2-way Spectral Graph Partitioning

Partition membership indicator: $\quad q_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}1 & \text { if } i \in A \\ -1 & \text { if } i \in B\end{array}\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
J & =\text { CutSize }=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i, j} w_{i j}\left[q_{i}-q_{j}\right]^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i, j} w_{i j}\left[q_{i}^{2}+q_{j}^{2}-2 q_{i} q_{j}\right]=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j} q_{i}\left[d_{i} \delta_{i j}-w_{i j}\right] q_{j} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} q^{T}(D-W) q
\end{aligned}
$$

Relax indicators $q_{\mathrm{i}}$ from discrete values to continuous values, the solution for $\min J(q)$ is given by the eigenvectors of

$$
(D-W) q=\lambda q
$$

(Fiedler, 1973, 1975)

## Algorithm

## - Step 1:

- Calculate Graph Laplacian matrix: $L=D-W$
- Step 2:
- Calculate the second eigenvector q
- Step 3:
- Bisect q (e.g., 0) to get two clusters

$$
(D-W) q=\lambda q
$$

(Fiedler, 1973, 1975)
(Pothen, Simon, Liou, 1990)

## *Minimum Cut with Constraints

minimize cutsize without explicit size constraints
But where to cut?


Need to balance sizes

## *New Objective Functions

- Ratio Cut (Hangen \& Kahng, 1992)

$$
s(A, B)=\sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} w_{i j}
$$

$$
J_{R c u t}(A, B)=\frac{s(A, B)}{|A|}+\frac{s(A, B)}{|B|}
$$

- Normalized Cut (Shi \& Malik, 2000)

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\text {Nout }}(A, B) & =\frac{s(A, B)}{d_{A}}+\frac{s(A, B)}{d_{B}} \\
& =\frac{s(A, B)}{s(A, A)+s(A, B)}+\frac{s(A, B)}{s(B, B)+s(A, B)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Min-Max-Cut (Ding et al, 2001)

$$
J_{M M C}(A, B)=\frac{s(A, B)}{s(A, A)}+\frac{s(A, B)}{s(B, B)}
$$

## Other References

- A Tutorial on Spectral Clustering by U. Luxburg http://www.kyb.mpg.de/fileadmin/user u pload/files/publications/attachments/Lux burg07 tutorial 4488\%5B0\%5D.pdf


## Mining Graph/Network Data

- Introduction to Graph/Network Data
- PageRank
- Classification via Label Propagation
- Spectral Clustering
-Summary $\downarrow$


## Summary

-Ranking on Graph / Network

- PageRank
- Classification via label propagation
- Clustering
- Spectral clustering


## Announcements

- Presentation next week
- Team 1-4: Monday
- Team 5-8: Wednesday
- Project report, code, and data
-6/12
- Teaching evaluation form

